## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

No. 5:15-CV-00587-F

FRANKLIN LEVI SESSION, Plaintiff/Claimant,	)	
v.	)	ORDER
NANCY A. BERRYHILL,¹	)	
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	)	
Defendant.	)	

This matter is before the court on the Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [DE-27] of United States Magistrate Judge Robert B. Jones, Jr., regarding the parties' cross motions for judgment on the pleadings [DE-21, -23], pursuant to Rule 12(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). This court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the recommendation to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely-filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of Social Security, has been added as a party. Carolyn W. Colvin's term expired on January 20, 2017, and she has been terminated as a party.

On February 28, 2017, the Magistrate Judge issued a M&R recommending that Plaintiff's

Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [DE-21] be DENIED, Defendant's Motion for Judgment on

the Pleadings [DE-23] be ALLOWED, and the final decision of the Commission be upheld. The

Magistrate Judge advised the parties of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the

M&R and the consequences if they failed to do so. The parties have filed no objections, and the time

for doing so expired on March 14, 2017.

Upon careful review of the M&R and of the record generally, and having found no clear

error, this court hereby ADOPTS the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. It is therefore

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [DE-21] is DENIED, Defendant's

Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [DE-23] is ALLOWED, and the final decision of the

Commission is upheld.

SO ORDERED.

This 2 day of March, 2017.

JAMES C. FOX

Senior United States District Judge

2